



State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor

Kathleen Clarke
Executive Director

Lowell P. Braxton
Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210

PO Box 145801

Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801

801-538-5340

801-359-3940 (Fax)

801-538-7223 (TDD)

March 27, 2001

TO:

THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM: Gregg A. Galecki, Reclamation Specialist

RE: 2000 Fourth Quarter Water Monitoring, Canyon Fuel Company, Soldier Canyon Mine, [REDACTED] WO00-4

1. Was data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?

YES ☒

NO ☐

Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

Well 6-1 has not been monitored due to blockage within the casing. It has not been sampled since 1997 and the Operator has committed to taking it out of the MRP sampling frequency during permit renewal.

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.

See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling due date

Renewal submittal due 10/03/01, renewal due 02/03/02. No commitment to resample for baseline parameters preceding re-permitting has been found in the MRP.

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site?

YES ☒

NO ☐

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

4. Were irregularities found in the data?

YES ☐

NO ☒

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?

1 st month,	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
2 nd month,	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
3 rd month,	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported?

YES ☒ NO ☐

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No Discharge was recorded during the reporting period.

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?

YES ☐ NO ☒

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

No further action is recommended.

sm

O:\007018.SOL\FINAL\WQ_00-4.DOC